P.F. 23 of 97

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1893 – 1894

191 .

(K.R.)

Later references.

File No. 77 of 93

Serial Nos. 1–26

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 26.

Major ~~Part~~ B for November 1897.

SUBJECT.

Claim of Venkataiya of Nagenayakanahalli, Mysore District to a Cope.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

Recd. 22/11/97

Entered BSB

2

1

Memo

Petition no. 158 dated
3d. July 1896

Petitioners: 1 Tammayya
2 Chinnayya
of Nagamangala

Last Reference: Mysore
Deputy Commissioner's
letter no. 1791 (P709) dated
1st. June 1897.

The petitioners appeal to Government against the order no. 542 dated 25-5-96 passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore declining to interfere with the order of the Sub Divn. Officer French Rocks who granted 6 guntas of kharab land S. no. 440⊗ of Nagamangala Kusba to Nanjundayya Hospital Assistant of that place.

The petitioners' chief contentions are:

1 That the land in disp. granted to Nanjundayya forms a portion of a top

⊗ Petn. mention that S. no. 402 was granted to the Hospl. Asst. This seems to be a mistake

Note-sheet (27)

Petn no 158 of 1896-97

Petrs- (1) Thammaya
(2) Bhaumaya
Nagammagala Kasba

Subject- Claim to kharab No 440 in the Nagammagala village

Last reference- by Commr's letter No 1270 & 12/2/97

Before going into the facts of the case, it is necessary to point out that the govt proceedings of 1893 (which are referred to as Nos 453 in the Land regr & 68 in the Petn & as No 440 in the by Commr's letter (No 7918 & 11/96) & as No 202 in the Petn. It is not necessary to notice the Nos given in the Petn but the other discrepancy is there. I thought the by Commr refers to S. No 440 in such a way as to identify it in the S. No 453 (as having been applied for by Nurangamma &). The records show that these Nos are different.

A draft order is submitted for approval

Sub Div 2nd Councillor

Bangalore.
9/3/97.

9/3/97

found that their acts were unauthorized and ~~xxxx~~ had been done with a view to claim some godi land in consideration of their having raised a charitable tope, that their claims to godi land were accordingly rejected in 1851 by the Supt. Ashta-gram Dipn, that at the Inam Settlement the tope land was resumed by Govt and that subsequently at the Survey Settlement it was entered in the Survey records as "Sirkar tope"

The Ashtagram Supt's order describes the land in question as situated to the East of the fort in Kusba Nagamangala and does not give the number (survey) of the land. The Deputy Commrs. report (to Govt) No 791 dated 6-11-96 tends to show that S. No 440 granted to the Hospital assistant and S. No 453 which was some years ago granted to one Sreerungamma are comprised in the tope in question and ~~xxxxx~~ form only a different portion of it.

Copy of Mahazar crossed in the file.

⊗ The Supt seems to have rejected the claim to godi land not only because the planting of trees was unauthorized but the tope was not also likely to be useful to the public owing to its situation

Here the DC (Commr) is asked to collect by map No 500 [illegible] 440 & 453 to the Assembly. The Supt's order shows no [illegible] & boundaries

Survey No 453 which
then appears to be a
portion of the tope in question
was granted some years
ago to Sreerungamma
in lieu of a certain land
belonging to her which the
Govt acquired for building
the Taluk Kutchery.
Ramayya who is one
of the appellants in the
case now before Govt
is the Son of Venkatayya
who in 1893 appealed to
Govt against the grant
of S.No 453 to Sreerungamma.
In that case Govt issued
the following endorsement
to Venkatayya :-

9-7-94

(Petitioner) "has no
right to the tope. It was
planted for public use,
and the construction of a
chuttram on it fulfils
the object. Petitioner's claim
is therefore rejected."
It may be noted here that
although the claims of Venka-
-tayya to the tope were not
recognized he was at the
time of the grant of S.No
453 to Sreerungamma
allowed an opportunity

for acquiring the said land if he only undertook to construct a musafar-khana on it which was much needed in that locality. Venkatayya was not willing to build a musafarkhana but was desirous of building only dwelling houses. Sreeramma was thus granted the land in preference to Venkatayya.

At the time of the grant of S. no 453 to Sreeramma the Deputy Comm. of Mysore distinctly rejected the claims of Venkatayya to the tope, on the authority of the previous order of the late Supt of Ashtagram Div. and those of the Inam & the Survey Supts.

Survey nos 440 which is now in dispute and Survey no 453 above ref to are opposite to Each other, the French Rocks-Nilgiri road separating them. The land in dispute does not lie within the municipal limits of the town. A portion of the land that was comprised in map no 500 was at the S

x Proceedings of 19-12-96 of the Sub Div. Off.

included in S. No. 440 and the rest in S. No. 453. It may be said that land included in S. Nos 440 & 453 formed one block of land -

Amildar's report 15.5.97

As regards the second contention of the petitioners that when Amirtha Subbaraya chetti applied for the land in dispute he was not granted it on the ground that it belongs to the petitioners, the Amildar of Nagamangala reports to the Sub Divn Office that the order rejecting Subbaraya chetti's application was passed by Sakharam Rao Taluk Sheristedar on the strength of the Shekdar's report Supported by a makhzar, without reference to the previous orders which rejected petitioners' claims to the tope land.

24.3.96 No 1053

× The makhzar states that the land in question is the top land where Pets' ancestors had planted trees and cultivated a well & a mantap at a cost of 2 or 3 thousand rupees.

This Explanation of the circumstances under which Amirtha Subbaraya chetti's application for the land in dispute was rejected, was apparently accepted by the Sub Division officer.

The following are the circumstances under which Nanjundayya Hospital Assistant was

granted S. No. 440 now in dispute.

Besides Ranyundayya Hospital Assistant there were other applicants for the land in dispute. All the applications were for building purposes.

Sannaś application was received in the Amildaŕs office on 16-10-95, Imam Sabś application was received on 3-12-95 and Hospital assistant Ranyundayyaś application was received on 7-12-95.

Rec'd 6-11-96

The Amildar of Nagamangala however recommended the grant of the land to Ranyundayya at one anna per square yard. In his report recommending the grant of the land to Ranyundayya the Amildar alludes to the previous order rejecting petitioners claim to the land in question. No mention is made in it of the other applications that had been received for the land.

21-2-96

The Sub Divn Office

thereupon ordered the
grant of the land to
Nanjundayya Hospital
Assistant as recommended
by the Amildar. The Hosp. Asst. paid the purchase money
on 2-3-96
Sanna above referred
to who was one of the
applicants for the land
in dispute afterwards
appealed to the Dy Comrs
against the order of the
Sub Divn officer. His chief
contention was that the
Sub Divn officer ignored
all prior applications ~~for~~
~~the land~~ and granted the
land to the last appli-
-cant Nanjundayya
contrary to the rules in
force regarding grant
of land. He prayed that
immediate orders might
be passed directing Nan
-undayya to stop building
on the land pending dis
-posal of the appeal and
that the land might be
sold by public auction.

The Dy Comrs ordered 13.3.96
thereupon that Nanjun
-dayya should stop building
on the land pending disposal
of the appeal. ~~The Dy Comrs~~

5

The Deputy Comm^r called for proceedings of the case from the Sub Divⁿ officer. The latter officer in submitting the records of the case reported to the Deputy Comm^r that the Hospital assistant was badly in want of a house near the Dispensary, that by having a house near the Dispensary the Hospital Ass^t would be more useful to the public, that it was in the interests of the public that the land in dispute was granted to the Hospital Ass^t although there were other applications for the same and the land might have been under other circumstances only sold by public auction, and that if the land were sold by public auction the Hospital Ass^t was least likely

to succeed in competing
with other bidders and
acquiring the land.

The Deputy Comm:
thereupon declined to
interfere ~~with the proceedings~~
at that stage of the case,
with the grant of the
land to the Hospital
Asst; as it was clear from
the Sub Divn officer's
report that the Hospital
Asst: had not only paid
the purchase money and
been put in possession
of the land but had
already spent considera-
-able amount of money
in the shape of advances
for workmen; purchase
of building materials &c.

The Deputy Comm:
however observed that
if the land had been
only sold according to
the rules there would
have been no dispute
at all.

The Sub Divn officer
thereupon agreed
that the Hospital Asst:
might be permitted

2-5-96

to resume the construct
-ion of the house.

On the petitioners present appeal to Govt against the above order of the Dy Comm: the proys were called for. ~~Govt~~ Govt further directed that the matter should remain status quo pending disposal of the appeal.

On receipt of this order the local authorities prevented the Hospl Asst from proceeding with the construction of the house on the land in dispute.

20-8-96 Nanjundayya Hospl Asst then began to complain to Govt that he was put to very great loss by being prevented from completing the building.

The report called for by Govt from the ~~Dy Commr~~ Dy Comm gives the following information:-

(1) that Nanjundayya Hospl Asst has not completed the house but has raised walls and fixed door frames and windows at a cost of Rs 338-11-6

(2) that there was only one neem tree on the land in question which Nanjundayya has since removed

(3) that Sreerangamma has not built any chuttrum.

(4) that the building of the house by Nanjundayya does not inconvenience the townspeople or others.

1876

(5) That the land is outside the Municipal limits

Thoppel Vr's claims to the land S No 440 cannot be affected by the Govt proceedings of 1869 (Serial no 10 of the pamphlet), the fact remains that during Lindsay's settlement he was entrusted in 1888, the land in question was classed as Sirkar & the petr did not prefer their claims within 2 years of the settlement + (Serial no 9 of the pamphlet)

which referred only to S No 440

18/6/97

14

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 158 of 1896-97

Appellants.	Vs.	Respondent.
1. Chinnaiya		Nanjundaiya
2. Jannaiya		

Appeal against the grant to Nanjundaiya for building purposes of a piece of land in Nagamangala.

(Vide list of papers attached)

It has been already decided that the Petrs have no right to the land applied for and that the sale by Nanjundaiya ought to have been set aside. But the sale by Purvei amuldar. It is advised that Nanjundaiya should be left to his remedy to compel the Amuldar to grant a Patta.

18-10-97

→ Rejected
DC 1/11/97.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 384 R
DATE 7-8-93

A.R. 77

5

to which they are Entitled.

They allege that their ancestors laid out a large sum of money for the said tope and for constructing a well and a mantapam thereon.

ii That when Amirtha Subbaraya chetti of Nagamangala had in 1893 applied for the identical land in dispute he was not granted it as it was found on Enquiry to have belonged to the petitioners.

iii That they are ready to pay ~~xxxxxxxx~~ not only as much price as Ranganayya Hospital assistant has paid but any other price that may be fixed by Govt, and if required they will construct a Musafarkhana if the land in dispute be granted to them.

It would appear from the records of the case that the petitioners' ancestors had planted some trees and constructed a well on the tope in question, that on Enquiry it was

(Paper 23)

OFFICE OF THE

"By order"

Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 745 (2)

Name of Petitioner. Venkata Iya.

Village. ?

Taluk. Nagamangala.

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 9. Sep. 93

Date of Receipt. 11. Sep. 93.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Four.

ABSTRACT.

Vide reference to the subject Endorsement No. 35 dated 14 August 93 stating that he has attached the Stamps which was deficient in the papers sent to him.

In this letter he states that he had leased many lands of this village for a year. Subject. Since the Dy Comm^r has given permission of the Sayer to me I have Gamma for building a Chavadi. This he says is unjust. He also states that the Karnangama has failed many times from the Sayer. Hence requests that the Sayer do may be restored to him. As suggested by the Dy Comm^r he is willing to pay that a Chavadi should be built and he is ready

Say Lone Kandayan on the 21st
Recce request that Police may
be one Chain.

Further Request that Sheriff
Inma Magtie ordered Cost & fee
Alien Name two & to sig. thereof
both the Tape till the case is
disposed in the office.

KS.
15/5

21

20-11-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

(4)

The accompanying Petition No. 354 & 745-
dated 7 August 93 received at the
Dewan's Office from Venkataya of
Rajamangala, appealing
against the Sessions Court
Order regarding partners
claim to Rajamangala

is forwarded, together with its ~~its~~ two from
enclosures, to the Collector Commissioner
Bangalore District, for submission of the proceedings
called for in Report in the
first of the case and
with the remarks ~~Returned~~ Required
in the petition.

2. The petitions with
their enclosures should be
returned.

By Order,

Asst. 15/11

Bangalore, 15 Nov 1893 } for Chief Secretary.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

Proceedings 2 added to the abstract

22

1259

(General Serial No. 30.)

2784

1894. 599

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

(1)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Submitted, I am &c.,

16/5

L.R. 77-93-X

Dated 14th February 1894

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Petition, Petitions dtd 2-8-93 & 4-8-93 with 35 & with Revs enclosures and Endorsements

General No.

No. 414

Petitioner has no right to the Tope. It was planted for Public use, & the proposed construction of a chatram in it fulfils the object. Petitioner's claim is therefore rejected

CP
22/6/94

Draft rejected
27/5

Submitting report on the petition from Venkatraja & Nagamangala.

P. Subbaiah
14/2/94

No. 314.
Dir. Letter, Petitions
Rec. 1402, 1745 & 387
with their Enclosures
and Connected Records.

23

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated Mysore 4th February 1894.

From

A. Rangacharry Esquire B.A.
Deputy Commissioner, B.A.B.L.
Mysore District.

(9)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,

SIR,

With reference to your Special Order No. 750 dated the 20th November 1893 forwarding for report the petition from Venkatayya of Nagannanagudu, I have the honor to submit herewith letter No. 874 dated 30th ultimo from the Sub Division Officer Hunsur Range, furnishing a detailed report regarding the tope claimed by the petitioners.

2. The petitioners' ancestors in 1851, preferred their claim to the tope and requested the grant

2-

of a judicial land court hearing
planted 100 trees on the Govern-
-ment Bungalow. The then Superin
-tendent of Plantation Division
rejected this claim in his order
No: 94 dated 29th October 1857,
stating that as the land was not
used as encumbering ground
for annuities &c, there was no
use of a tope there, and that the
petitioners had planted the tope
unauthorizedly.

copy filed in the records

In accordance with this,
the Superintendent of Queens
resumed the tope to Government
in 1880. The petitioners or his
ancestors did not appeal against
this order. During the late Survey
Settlement also which took place
in 1885, the tope in question was
classed as Government Khandu.

Even

Even then, the petitioner did not take objection within two years from the date of settlement as per rules.

Under these circumstances it would seem that the petitioner has waived his claim to the lots in question, and that his rights are time barred. Notwithstanding this, the Sub-Division offered the petitioner the option of taking the lots and building a decent Musafarkhana as applied for by others, to which he did not agree, but only wished to build two dwelling houses and shops with the object of making a profit.

The order under appeal was communicated to the petitioner in December 1892. As per rules laid down in the Land Revenue Code, he should have ...

have appealed to Government within three months from the said date, whereas he has filed the appeal petition in August 1893, or 5 months after the appeal period had expired.

The appellant is thus not entitled to any relief. If the Government, should however in view of the fact that his ancestor had planted the trees, consider that some concession may be shown to the petitioner, I would suggest that half of the value of the trees might be paid to him as compensation, the other half being deducted in satisfaction of the assessment on the lands which might have been levied, had the trees been treated as private property.

The

The records connected with the case together with the petitions received will be sent under reply, and herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

Kine

for Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Comptd.
Ulu

Sub Division office Francistown

24

Rec. No. 404
12/94

Date Camp Nyamanyali Estate
30

January 1894.

No. 574
En. 3 files

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mazoe District

2 Feb
Returned
post
10/2/94

Sir:—

Adverting to your Memos: Nos: 333 and 336 dated 2nd and 3rd December 93 respectively, calling for report on the petition of Umkatuya of Nyamanyali, I have the honor to report as follows:—

The site on which the new Native Location is situated was selected by Government Engineer from the Portuguese and native Headmen and after personally visiting three separate sites I chose the present one as being the most convenient to the water & travellers. In selecting the sites three individuals suggested that as the Government is to be benefited and will be put to expense and the Kraals & their Chiefs

30 the refusal of it and as he did not agree
to build a decent Anusaperkkana upon
it according to a given plans but Sund.
—— dwelling houses and shops more
with the object of deriving a personal
benifit from them I ordered it to be
given to Guttigavada and Sriranganah
to build the Chutram. At this stage
I was succeeded by Mr. Dulroy Devaraj
Urs in Charge of the Sub Division, the
petitioner again protested the site
being given to Guttigavada and
Sriranganah and the records were
ordered to be kept in abeyance
till that officer had inspected the
spot. But before this was done I
again resumed Charge of the Sub Division
And submitted the papers for the
orders of the Deputy Commissioner
And was directed to hand over
the sites to Guttigavada and Sriranganah
It was accordingly done. Sriranganah
has started the walls of the Chutram.
Guttigavada has done nothing as yet
The present appeal is against the
decision of the Deputy Commissioner.
The allegation of the petitioner that
he maintained an establishment

all along at a cost of 20 Rupees a month for the upkeep of the Cope is quite un- 31
- supported.

Pending final orders in the case the cutting of the trees has been stopped.

The papers connected with the case together with the petitions and Memos under reply are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

Sub Division officer
French Rocks
Sub Division.

Stamp no Rupee

(2)

11-9-93

745

3-7-94

T.R. 77

[illegible]

would be granted after this may also be given to them prior to commencing new Prospecting and granted to Survey No: 453 as being used only for the purpose. The Minister explained the application and as the title has limited grain to make up for the loss incurred by Cooperation was for a Charitable purpose enquiries were made regarding this No: 453. It was ascertained that it is a Government type containing three and 2 Centas and the title was also issued for a Prospecting which is much needed in Nagamangala. The present Claimed the type is the one and granted certain papers in support of his allegation. After perusal disclosed the type Survey No: 453 to have been planned by the surveyor duly authorised prospecting Licence and Government permission. It was therefore ordered that the type had been satisfactorily secured during the term subsisted and during the term subsisted it was treated as three type — as the type as it stood was not of much use, it was decided by me to give the petition praying above mentioned for quashing the Prospecting. But before doing so I find the evidence

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894. 895

From

The Dy Comm^r,
Mysore Dt^t.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 21/7/94

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 70
No.

Ch. Secy's No. 396 Pet 56 dy 7/13

Instt

States that it was not his intention to question the power of Govt to excuse delay and admit an appeal, and that the ref^ce to the delay was made as there was nothing in Secy's No. 750 dy 15/20/11/93 to show that the petition was admitted and registered as an appeal and that the question of delay had been excused?

Pte

13-7-94

No. 384

H 34

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

7th July 1894

(10)

13

Endorsement granted to Venkatasa & Rajamangala.

in reply to L.R.77-93 his Petition Registered No. 384 dated 4th July 1894 applying against the Dy. Commissioners order refusing the Petitioner claim to the Tope known as Rajamangala.

Petitioner is informed that he has no right to the Tope. It was planted on Public land and the construction of a Chattram in it public use. Petitioners claim is therefore rejected.

2. The enclosure is returned.

"By order"

Draft.

Asst 4/7

for Chief Secretary.

No. 396 Per. 50 dt 7-7-94 13.

Copy of the Dy. Commr's Report with a remark that it is not for him to question the action of Govt when an appeal is preferred against the proceedings of it is open to Govt to pass such orders in any case it thinks proper.

2. The Emanation records

In the Dy. Commissioners Letter No. 914 dated 14th February 1894 returned.

"By Order"

Draft.

for Chief Secretary.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—5-3-94—2000.

29/6

No. 70 37
Enclosures No.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore, 21 July 1894.

(11)

From A. Rangaswami Iyengar Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

SIR,

With reference to the remark noted in the margin, communicated in your no: 396 Rei 56 dated 7/13 Instant, I have the honor to state that it was not my intention to question in any way the power of Government to excuse delay and admit an appeal after the prescribed time. I only made a reference to the delay that had occurred in filing the appeal, as there was nothing in your docket no: 750 dated 15/20 Nov: 1893, referring the appeal petition for my report, to show that the petition had been admitted and registered as an appeal or that the question of delay in its presentation had been excused. It may be argued that the

"It is not for him to question the action of Government. When an appeal is admitted after the prescribed time, it is open to Govt: to excuse delay in any case it thinks proper to do so."

Government would not have asked for a report, if it had not excused the delay. I beg, however, to submit that from the mere fact of referring the petition for report, it does not necessarily follow that the delay had been condoned. While the Government could have rejected the petition as out of time, there is no provision requiring it to exercise its discretion at the earliest stage and there was nothing to prevent it from putting off the consideration of the delay till after the report of the facts of the case is received from the District. It is under this impression that I alluded to the delay and not because I was ignorant of the discretionary power which Appellate Courts has in such cases, or because I wished to object to Government exercising that discretion in favour of the appellant.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compd.
Ales.

38

Proceedings of the Government in the Revenue Department

(12)

Read

Letter No 40 dated 21st Aug 1894 from the Deputy Commissioner Belgaum District, submitting his explanation with reference to the remarks made on the order No 206 Pet: 06 dated 7th Aug 1894 regarding the action of the Asst Collector in admitting the appeal, when it was presented beyond the period of limitation

Order thereon No 3519 Pet: 322

21/30/8/94

Recorded

2 Government accept the explanation of the Assistant Commissioner

Sd/- 29/8/94

Chief Secretary

(Commissioner 21-8-94)

To the Dy Comr Belgaum District

Dk 28/8

1961

322 of 94

1896

(14)

No. 158

Name of Petitioners (Petitioner) Lal Kanhaiya Lal (Opposite Party) Kanhaiya Lal & others

Village, Sonpahalia

Taluk, Mapamagalia (Khanbay)

District, Khyrai

Date of Arzi, 3-7-96

Date of Receipt, 11-7-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 7 with my covering letter for Rs. 5-30 = 378/5 of 24-6-96 Collector-Khyrai

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the order of Mr. [illegible] Khyrai dated 25-6-96 and also against the order of the Sub-Divisional Officer Kanch Kalan No. 378/5 of 8-7-96.

Heard Counsel for Petitioner:-

Upon perusing the papers filed in the Sub Division appeal Kanch Kalan for Collector's decision, it appears that the petitioner applied to the Sub-Divisional Officer that land has been encroached upon by the opposite party and the land placed in his occupation consequently ending in the dispute between the parties. Proceedings 25-6-96 have been written by the Sub Division officer to the above effect.

The Sub-Divisional officer has in his judgment dated 8-7-96 stated that petitioner on 21-3-96 applied that petitioner's land has been encroached upon by the opposite party

Be-Proper Stamp affixed
Stamp insufficient
Petition
does not appear to be a
Criminal case.

Sd/-
A.S.
14/7/96

To be returned to Petitioner for proper Court fee stamp

L.R. 7-93

Ent'd Cash
Rec'd
14-7-96

Deficiency made good on 16-7-96
Hand Rept
Petition
Ord'd for orders

21-7-96

Petitioner to be present for the purpose

21-7-96

Counsel for Petitioner
Petition
Mr. Mathew
Petitioner
Petitioner
Petitioner

situated in the East of the village

42

(7) In spite of the Inamdar the Amildar and Sub-Division officer are of opinion that the Government granted by the Sheristedar was granted without looking to the previous reference a portion of the Zope to the East of Survey No. 201 was acquired by Govt. for the construction of the Salsette Railway. In lieu of this Saiyumpanna daughter-in-law of Hoorjadar Virumdakar was granted Survey No. 201. On this the petitioners preferred an appeal to Govt. The Govt. in reply informed them in Endorsement No. 1408 of 1-12-93 that their petition had been sent to the Dy. Collr.

(8) Their petition was returned as being insufficient vide Endorsement No. 1861 of 7-2-95. This matter is still pending adjudication. The Sub Division officer and the Dy. Collr. relying upon the Evidence submitted by the Amildar enquiry passed the orders appealed against.

(9) The Enclosures of their petition will show that they are Kabjedars of the land under dispute. They will submit some other original documents on the same being returned from the Sub Division Office.

10. The Govt grant to the rights the Lands encroached upon them by taking ten times the assessment of the land encroached upon from them. They would be willing to pay Annas per Sqr. yard if the Govt restore to them the land under dispute on which

x. The previous case seems to [illegible] Survey No. 453. (See letter No 9[illegible] from the Dy Collr of No. 874 [illegible] from Sub-Divl Officer in the [illegible] decided that petr had no right to [illegible] to the above effect was [illegible] there is a Kararnama precis in the [illegible]

⊗ This petn Govt for a review the order passed by Govt.

x. No. (See remarks [illegible]

N. 746

of the Collr (Dy Collr's order [illegible]

43.

I Their members built the bath and the Recreation at [illegible] Camp out lay. They are also ready to pay any value the Govt. may fix for same; or if the Govt. grant them the land gratis they would build a Recreation-Room on it.

II. The Canteen Committee which was declined the grant to Recreation Subcommittee, to the grant to which Govt. [illegible] for some consideration or other. The Keeper is Expediting the Construction of the buildings on the land.

Pray that an order may be given to the Local Authorities (by whom for which they have Endorsed a Government note for Rs. 5/=) to prohibit the Keeper from Constructing any buildings on the land under dispute till their appeal is disposed of.

Pray also that they may be granted an Endorsement intimating them of the issue of the order.

BK
12/7.

43.

I Their members built the bath and the Recreation at [illegible] Camp out lay. They are also ready to pay any value the Govt. may fix for same; or if the Govt. grant them the land gratis they would build a Recreation-Room on it.

II. The Canteen Committee which was declined the grant to Recreation Subcommittee, to the grant to which Govt. [illegible] for some consideration or other. The Keeper is Expediting the Construction of the buildings on the land.

Pray that an order may be given to the Local Authorities (by whom for which they have Endorsed a Government note for Rs. 5/=) to prohibit the Keeper from Constructing any buildings on the land under dispute till their appeal is disposed of.

Pray also that they may be granted an Endorsement intimating them of the issue of the order.

BK
12/7.

40

(ie in Amritsar Subtaluki case)

VII

VI

References

25/8/96

Wak

26/8

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1896

No. 697

Name of Petitioner. T. Ramyndra

Village. Hospital apts.

Taluk. Nagamangala

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 20-8-96

Date of Receipt. 24-8-96

Date of Dispatch.

No. of Enclosures. nil

ABSTRACT.

Petr states that in pursuance of the telegram issued by Govt on the complaint of Channia and Ramma the Amildar has issued him a notice prohibiting him from proceeding with the construction of the building now on the site granted to him on 2-3-96. That the building is almost complete and that if the remaining work is not executed at the present time it would be damaged during the rainy season that sanction may be accorded to complete the building or to favor him with an order to hold some one responsible for all his loss.

HK
25/8.

25/8/96

Petr is a Lecturer

This Petition is entertained

26/8

L.R. 27-9-13

27/8/96

28-8-96.

Petr is not clear if the land is within municipal limits. It is attached to Nagamangala & has been called for on the 3rd Route

Complied with 7/9/96

In connection therewith, I pray a necessary order, & for necessary directions to be issued to the Amildar of Nagamangala

1-9-96.

41.

Regulations relating to the Licensing of Hackney Carriages and Private Hire Vehicles and the Licensing of Drivers of Hackney Carriages and Private Hire Vehicles and Operators of Private Hire Vehicles

(2) In this Part, the Licensing Authority may refuse to grant a licence for a Hackney Carriage or Private Hire Vehicle if it is not satisfied that any requirement specified in paragraph (1) has been complied with.

(3) Without prejudice to paragraph (2), the Licensing Authority may refuse to grant a licence for a Hackney Carriage or Private Hire Vehicle if it is not satisfied that the vehicle is suitable in type, size and design for use as a Hackney Carriage or Private Hire Vehicle, as the case may be, or that it is not in a safe mechanical condition or that adequate provision is not made for the comfort and convenience of passengers.

(4) Any Hackney Carriage or Private Hire Vehicle licence granted or renewed by the Licensing Authority may be granted or renewed for a period of one year or for such lesser period as may be specified in the licence if the Licensing Authority considers that such lesser period is appropriate in the circumstances of the case or if it is the policy of the Licensing Authority to grant licences for such lesser period unless there is some special reason why it should not do so in the case of the licence in question.

(5) Where the Licensing Authority refuses to grant or renew a licence for a Hackney Carriage or Private Hire Vehicle or proposes to impose conditions on such a licence otherwise than with the consent of the licence holder, the Licensing Authority shall, within fourteen days of a request in writing from the applicant for the licence, give to him a written notice stating the reasons for its decision.

(6) Any person aggrieved by a decision of the Licensing Authority to refuse to grant or renew a licence for a Hackney Carriage or Private Hire Vehicle or to impose conditions on such a licence may appeal against the decision to a magistrates' court.

References

8/9

1996

No. 868

Name of Petitioner and Address: Shanmaiah and others.

Village

Taluk: Nagamangala

District: Mysore

Date: 3-7-96

Date of Receipt: 5-7-96

Date of Disposal:

No. of Enclosure: 1

ABSTRACT.

With reference to the 9th para... in their petn to Govt dy 7-7-96 stat... therein that they would send some more references on their behalf in connection with their case (to show that the land given away to the Hospital assistant and others by him during their tenure as Minister) belong to them - They accordingly submitted to the Sub Division Officer Nagamangala the records and beg to submit the reports received from him - They beg that Govt may examine the records and declare their rights over the lands and grant them the possession of the lands.

The order of the Lr dy Officer is as follows: - "The records connected with the case have been submitted to

Nagamangala
Sub Div

Sub Division
Nagamangala

Land
8/9/96.

1679/96 - L.R. 77-93-15

The Sub Division Officer, Nagamangala vide his letter dy 21-8-96 forwarding on enclosure in which he stated that the records were not available with him upto 20-8-96 - They would be received shortly. He also stated that he would submit his report after the records are received.

The dy: Commr's report has not yet been received.

The Sub Division Officer, Nagamangala vide his letter dy 8/9/96 forwarded the records received from the Tahsildar, Nagamangala

le Conseiller Thoumin
un député communiste avait
envoyé à Thierry le retourné
boyon" —

7/9/96
BdL.

(7) The Sub-Divisional Magistrate and Sub Divisional Officer rejected the application stating that Government passed by the Circular was passed without hearing the petitioner against whose case the Court of Wards was applied for. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate further stated that the petitioner had not filed any appeal to Govt. The petitioner stated that he filed an appeal to Govt. The petitioner stated that he filed an appeal to Govt. No. 1865 of 1-12-93 but the petitioner had not filed any appeal.

(8) The petitioner prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw his application dated 7-2-95. The petitioner is still pleading against the Sub Divisional Officer and the Court of Wards. The petitioner has filed an application dated 19-12-92 against the Sub Divisional Officer.

(9) The petitioner's petition was rejected by the Sub Divisional Officer. The petitioner has filed an application dated 19-12-92 against the Sub Divisional Officer. The petitioner has filed an application dated 19-12-92 against the Sub Divisional Officer.

10. The Court has to the petitioner's application dated 19-12-92 against the Sub Divisional Officer. The petitioner has filed an application dated 19-12-92 against the Sub Divisional Officer. The petitioner has filed an application dated 19-12-92 against the Sub Divisional Officer.

42

x. The previous case [illegible] reported in Serial No. 453. (See Civil Misc. Petition No. 245 of 1894 from the Court of Wards Office in the file). It was decided that the petitioner had no right to file the said petition.

(See remarks above)

(See Civil Misc. order of 19-12-92)



RECEIVED
BANGALORE
15 JUL

No. 1

Signature of Payee (in ink.)

ನಂಬರ್. 58 7

ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

15-7-96

ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರ ನಾಗಮಂಗಲ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ನಾಗಮಂಗಲದ ಪ್ಲೀ

ಇರುವ ಸೊಕ್ಕನಿಲಾಲ್ಬಿಸುಖಚಿನ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಇವರಿಗೆ ಇಂಡಾರಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ

L.R 77-93-10

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ [illegible]

ಬತಾರೀಖು 14 ನೇ ಮೊಹೆ ಜೂಲೈ ಸನ್ 1890 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ದರಜಾಗಾ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

[illegible]
for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—21-9-93.—3,000.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ. 153-296

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು 4-8-96

ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು [illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು [illegible] ಇವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ [illegible] ಇರುವ ಕಂಚುಗಾರ ವೃತ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಪರವಾನಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಆ. ಸಿ. 77-93-11

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ [illegible] ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ [illegible] ನೇ ಹೊರ್ಮೆಯಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿ

[illegible]

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು. ಇತಿ ತಾರೀಖು 3 ನೇ ಜೂಲೈ ಸನ್ 1896 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ದರಕಾಸಾಗಿ

By Order.

for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—21-9-98.—5,000.

No. 791
Enclosures No. Petitions &c & Canarese papers -

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 6th November 1896.

55

(2)

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your official Memorandum No. 214, of the 3rd August 1896 (and reminder No. Petn 362 dated the 3rd October 1896) forwarding for report, the petition of Thammayya and Chinnayya of Nafanungala, complaining of the grant of a certain land belonging to them in the Kasba Village of Nafanungala, to the Hospital Assistant of that Station, for building purposes, I beg to State as follows:-

2. Three separate durkhasts were received in the Amildar's office as per margin, from Sanna, Emam Sabi, and the Hospital Assistant Nanjoondiah for portions of the Kharab land, Viz: Survey No. 440, which belongs to the Kasba Village of Nafanungala. All the three applicants wanted

Date	Person
16-10-95	Sanna
3-12-95	Police Imam Sabi
7-12-95	Hospl Assistant Nanjoondiah
	Petitioner

wanted the land only for building purposes. The dunkhasto were referred by the Amildar to the Sheikdar for enquiry and report. The latter reported that the land in question contained a well built by Neeliah and Chinnayya (the petitioners ancestors). On further enquiry by the Amildar, it appeared that the said ancestors of the petitioners had, before obtaining permission, entered upon the land, built a well in it, and put in a few plants with the object of obtaining some inam land therefor from Government; but the late Superintendent of the Ashtagram division, in his order No. 94, of 1851, refused to sanction the grant of any jodi land for the topes proposed to be raised by the petitioners' ancestors, as their acts were unauthorized. The land was also thereafter declared to be the property of Government, though the stones used by the petitioners' ancestors for the well still remained on it. Later on, i.e. in 1892, one Sreeranganna, applied for a portion

of

45 — Pet Encl 9. 214

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(18) (19)

L.R 77-93-12

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petitions Registered No. 153 & 296 dated 3.7.96 & 17.7.96 respectively received at the Dewan's Office from Ramaswamy and Cheinayya of Nagamangala appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr. of Mysore regarding the grant of certain land at ~~the~~ Nagamangala ~~town~~ & the Hospital site of that place for building a house

are ~~is~~ forwarded, together with ~~its~~ their enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District for a full report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petitions with ~~its~~ their enclosures should be returned.

3 The Dept Commr is requested to ~~direct~~ direct that the matter do remain status quo pending disposal of this appeal.

By order,

Draft C 2

3-8-96.

BANGALORE,

3-8-1896. ~~Chief~~ Secretary.

3/8

RLK 3-8-96

Petr has sent 4 Rs for which may a order be issued & sent to him

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000.—

Rs 4 sent by M.O. 3/8/96

Pet Encl
382

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

47

L.R 17-93-14

The accompanying Petition No. 698
dated 28th Aug 1896 received at the
Dewan's Office from T. Narayana Ayya
Hospital assistant of
Nagamangala complaining
of the order ~~of~~ communicated to him
~~directing the petitioner~~ not to
proceed with the construction
of the house on the site newly
acquired to him at Nagamangala
is forwarded, ~~herewith with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commr of
the Mysore District in Contin-
uation of this office no
Pet 214 dated 5th Sept 1896, for
a very early report in the
matter and for information
as to whether the land in
question is situate within
the municipal limits.
2 The petition should be
returned.

By Order,

Draft

Bangalore,
1st Sept 1896.

for Chief Secretary.

1/9/96

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 8652
DATE 5-9-96

5/9/96 one enclosure

898

3-9-96

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 3157
189 17 NOV 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 6th November 1896
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. Petitions Nos 158 236 to 238 with 4th Add

No. 791 Canvassee record

Report on petition of Timmiah and Chinnah & Nagamangala

Petitioners Nos 158 236 to 238 with 4th Add Canvassee record

No. 791

Report on petition of Timmiah and Chinnah & Nagamangala

Mr. Rice

Order on Abstract of Petn 158 &

3.7.96.

21/11/96 L R. 77-73—16

Rec'd 6.35 Pm.
21/11/96

Ireland in question is called a tope. When there was Sreerangamma's can be upon for the claim of the Petr was rejected mainly on the ground that Sreerangamma was building a chattram on it & that the Petr's intention was to build houses etc. It is true that Petitioner no right that Petitioner no right to Ireland but if it is a public tope with a well there in it the property of spring it may be a private tope but it is built upon it generally. The Asst Comr will further report

(1) if Nanjundaya has built the house or any portion of it so attached

cost.

Petn Recd 11/11/96

Mr 11/11

Petr's writ to refer.

14/11

M. Mc Hutchison

The petition appeal to Government is against this order.

3. The petition, received with the memo above quoted, and the one received with the subsequent memorandum No. Petn/362 dated the 1st September 1896, and the vernacular record connected therewith are herewith submitted.

4. The land granted to the Hospital Assistant, vide Survey No. 440 is a Kharab land measuring 6 guntas and is situated outside the municipal limits of Nagamangala.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servt.

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore district

Campo:
nlcs

of the land, for building a chattram thereon; and the application was sanctioned by the former Deputy Commissioner on the ground that the petitioners who protested against the grant of it, had no manner of right to it because, so far back as 1851, the interference of their ancestors with the land do a house stated, ~~had been~~ declared to be unauthorized by the late Superintendent of the Astiagram division, and the land ~~had~~, moreover, been treated as Government waste land, both at the Inam and Survey settlements. In December 1895 when the Assistant applied for a portion of this land for building a house for his own residence, close to the dispensary the Sub division officer & Tranquebar visited the place in Company with the Tahsildar, and found that it was Commodiously situated in every way, though there

there were three applicants for it, including the Hospital Assistant, the Sub division officer thought it fit to grant ~~it at the Court~~ to the Hospital Assistant at the rate of 1 anna per square yard (the rate offered by one of the other applicants) and further permitted the Hospital Assistant to build upon it. Against the said order, the petitioners preferred an appeal to this office. As the Sub division officer had, by that time, received the full value of the land viz. Rupees 31-8-0 for 504 Square yards actually taken up by the Hospital Assistant and transferred the possession thereof to him, I said that I could not do anything in the matter. At the same time I informed the Sub division officer that he would have done better if he had sold the land to the highest bidder as there were three applicants for it. The

Court Fee

Affix Judicial Court Fee
Rs. Rupees Twenty Stamps of one Rupee value each

Rs. 20/1/26

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 2966
DATE 26-1-26

Affidavit in support of the Petition is attached with the Petition.

964

70

1896 No. 5401 / 866

Pet 520

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

L. R. 77-93-21

Dated 12th

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Vernacular records

No. 1270

Furnishing further information regarding the land given to Raymundeia Hospital Asste for building a house at Nagamangala

Hospl belong to Peter Brandt.

Hospl 18/2.

Rec'd 24/2

Submitted with reference.

Station Nagamangala

Dated 20th August 1896

696

By hand
Rec'd
early

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REGD. NO. 698 R
DATE 24-8-96

To The Secretary to Mysore Government

Revenue Department &c

Bangalore.

24/8/

L.R. 77-93-14

Respected Sir

I beg most respectfully to bring the following to your honors kind consideration and early and favourable disposal

Being a Government servant I was not able to procure a house in this town of Nagamangala close to the Dispensary or elsewhere to attend to the public both day and night at any call or beck of the public community. The quarters for the Hospital assistants are built in many places very near the Dispensary at Government cost. This place being devoid of such buildings I had to procure a piece of Government waste land at a fixed price out of my own money just to do more justice to my work and pay. Hence the French Rocks Sub. Divisional officer, after knowing

Subwilles

on his durkhast

2 The Vernacular file of papers
/ 75 endcing with the Nagamangala
Amildar's report No. 906 dated the
15th ultimo is herewith submitted

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servt

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compd.
HCG.

financing several inconveniences. I was subjected
to in procuring a house for me to live in, & Granted
me a piece of Government land just close to the
Sub Division Office Public Works Department
Nagamangala, when he had come here on Jamabandi
tour of inspection during February last. After
paying necessary amount of the land into the
Taluk Treasury on 2-3-1946, the land was given
to my possession to erect the building as it is
convenient in every way for the resident of an
Hospital Assistant and as it is in every way
conveniently situated Very near the Dispensary
During the Course of the Construction of the building
Certain Complaint was made to the Deputy Commis
sioner who after going over Very Carefully
into the matter Confirmed the order of the Sub
Divisional Officer. Fully & Sincerely believing
that this order is genuine one, the Construction
of the house was resumed after spending
Rs 600/- six hundred nearly. Nagamangala
Taluk Authorities have again issued another
notice on the 5th of this month that I was
makers Clandestinely and Dammital inhabitants
of this place have appealed and that Telegram
has been sent by them hence to stop further
erection of the building until further orders

The house is almost completed with little or no work left to be finished. Of this little ie 1/8th of the work is not finished for this rainy season 67
all the brick and wood works will be highly damaged in the future expected more heavy rains.
Hereby I shall be a Very great loser. I further beg, most respectfully to request to know who will make good of my present and future loss, if all the work I have done becomes ruinous and highly damaged. Under these circumstances I beg, most respectfully to request that Your Honour will be pleased to accord sanction either to finish off soon the Very little work that is left to be completed, or to favour me with an order to hold some persons responsible for all my loss. Early and favourable reply is respectfully solicited

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir
Your most obedient servant
[signature]
Hospital Assistant, Nagamangala

Presented in person to Govt Council

No. 1024

18/10/97

77

Station Mysore

Dated 18th October 1897

1024 R.
21-10-97

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Revenue and General Departments

Respected Sir

I respectfully beg to request that You will kindly favour me with an endorsement for having attended the office at Mysore to produce the same before my immediate superior officers for having done so

I beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

T Manjoondayyah

Hospital Assistant

GP

1896

(25)

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Comr
Mysore District.

L.R. 77-93-20

Dated 27th } November 1896.
Despatched

Received

General No. 4911
P. No. 794.

Enclosure No. { 3 & 1 file
~~1 enclosed~~

With reference to his letter no. 791 of 6th November 1896 reporting on the petn of Thimmiah and Channiah of Nagamangala, has the honor to observe that the land in question is called a tope, that when there was Sreerangamma's case before Govt the claim of the petrs was rejected mainly on the ground that Sreerangamma was building a chattram on it and that the petrs intention was to build houses and shops, that it is true that petitioners ~~have~~ have no right to the land, but that, if it is a public tope with a well and trees in it, the propriety of giving it away

78 No. 1024 (31)

L.R. 72-93-24

This is to certify that J. Ranjan
Hospital Assistant in charge of the
Dispensary at Kadawan Kalpanamp
has appeared before the Commissioner
at Negombo on the 18th instant as
respondent in his private capacity
in A.S. No. 15 of 1896-97
in pursuance of the notice issued to
him from this office on 14th September
last.

S.O.
for Secretary.

(580)

No. 1024 Pet 7-23-97 24.11.97

The above certificate is forwarded to J. Ranjanie Hospital Assistant at Kalpanampala in reply to his petition registered No. 1024 of 18-10-97

S.O.
for Secretary

for a private house to be built upon is questionable and to ~~ask~~ request that he may be so good as to furnish report

(1) if Nanjundayya has built the house or any portion of it and if so at what cost.?

(2) How many trees & of what kind are there on the land.

(3) Has Seevarangamma built the Chatteram.

(4) Does the building of the house by Nanjundayya inconvenience the townspeople or others.

By order

27/11/96

Secretary.

RL
25/11

with letter No 1791 dated 1st June 1897 from the same officer submitting, a with reference to the Secretary's docket C. No 61/10 dated 21st March 1897, a further report in the matter

(4) Records of the case from which the facts appear to be as follows:—

In December 1895 the boundary Petitioner Nanjundaiya the Hospital Assistant of Nagamangala applied for a portion of Govt waste land bearing Survey No 460 of Nagamangala, for the purpose of building a house. There were two previous applications for the same

JOURNALE

83

Commr declined to interfere with this order. Hence the appeal to Govt. - In 18[illegible] when a portion of the adjoining Govt waste land, Survey No 4[illegible] which contained the remaining portion of Inam No 50 was granted to one Srirangamma for building a Chatram, the 2nd Petitioner's father Venkataiya (or Venkatachalapathi) put forth his claim but the Dy Commr rejected it on the grounds that the planting of the topes was unauthorized and that the land was treated as Govt land at both the Enam and Survey Settlements

No. 1270

Enclosures No. Records.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 12th February 1897.

71

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to your docket No. 4911 P. 1794 dated the 27th November 1896, calling for further information regarding the land given to Ranjundia for building a house at Nagamangala, I have the honor to state that from the Sub Division Officer's letter No. 830 of the 31st January 1897 it would appear

(1) that Hospital Assistant Nanjundaya has not completed the house, but has raised walls and fixed door frames and windows at a cost of Rs. 338-11-6 as per estimate filed with

with the Vernacular records.

(2) - that there was only one Neem tree on the land in question which Ramjumbook has since removed.

(3) that Singaramma has not built any Chatram, and

(4) that the building of the house by Kaymandia does not incon= venience the towns people or others.

2. The Vernacular file of papers received with the decree under ad= verted is herewith, re-submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obt. Servant.

[signature]
Deputy Commissioner
of the Tuticorin District

Compd Ltr

On appeal, Govt decided that 84
Venkalinga had no right to
the tope. The Deputy Commr
reports that Nanjundaya
has not completed the house
but has executed some work
at a cost of Rs 338-11-6, that
the land in question contains
only one Neem tree and that
the building of the house
does not inconvenience the
townspeople or others.

— " —

577 Order thereon No. 4060. 2723-97 dt 2-11-97

It has been already
decided that the Petitioners
have no right to the land.
Their objection is not tenable.

But

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 8252
No. 1709
- 7 JUN. 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 1st June, 97

Despatched

Received

General No. 1741
No.

Enclosure No. 4 papers

Subject Petition of Subbaraya Setty praying for remission of land assessment on a garden land granted to him for building a house at Nagamangala.

Rep put up 73
AK
10/6/97

Open this & the connected papers for Sundays.
L.R. 72-93-22
AK
10/6/97

Submitted to the Asst Secy
BLR
10/6

The proceedings of 1893 cannot affect petr's claim & Sl no 440 under the Supdt's order of 1895 including both Sl nos 440 & 453 as well as Sl no 509. Kindly see please what is meant in the case of the Nagamangala record & put up connected correspondence (Underscored) (Memo to Supdt & Dy)
MN 13/6/97

Rep 16/6
Upd memo submd
AK
16/6

Submitted to 2nd Councillor with records
MN 18/6/97

Rob. 20th
18/6/97.
Petitioner be invited present in due course when the matter may be decided
Mysore
24/8/97

Notices issued 14/9/97

Posted for hearing on Rs 16th Sept at Bangalore
MN 24/8/97

Rev 5/10/97
Rev
5/10/97

No. 1771
Enclosure No. Enquiry papers.

74

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore, 8th June 1897.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 981 dated 2nd March 1897, calling for certain information regarding the land given to Ramanadiah, Hospital Assistant, for building a house at Nagamangala, I have the honor to state that the whole of Survey No. 405 together with a portion of Survey No. 403 together are said to have formed the site for the proposed Police Station, that there two lands are detached by intervening high road separating them, and that it is land No. 405 that has been granted to the Hospital Assistant Ramanadiah

on

Submitted
BK
27-10-97

(30)

1897

No. 1024

Name of Petitioner T. Narjoondayyah

Village

Taluk } Hospital assistant

District } Mysore

Date of Arzi 18-10-97

Date of Receipt 21-10-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

The petitioner requests an endorsement for having attended the Office at Mysore, as the same is required to be produced before his superior Officer. The petition is in English.

MS.
23/10/97

76

Where is the file?

M 30/10/97 L.R. 77-93 : 23

Submitted with the file which is sent herewith from Hospital Dept.
BK
2/11/97

Attested certificate in English. Mysore given Submitted Dy. C.

M 2/11/97

Asst. Submitted
BK
2/11/97

78

no. 1024 (31)

L.R. 72-93-24

Mairie de Lauterbourg Hospice civil

Hospice civil de Lauterbourg

Mairie de Lauterbourg

Hospice civil de Lauterbourg

Hospice civil de Lauterbourg

Lauterbourg le 24.11.97

Lauterbourg ~~le 24~~ le 24.11.97

L. 23-97

Mairie de Lauterbourg Hospice civil

Hospice civil de Lauterbourg

L 24 / 18-10-97

B.O.

Pour Le Maire

same land, that the Surgeon
recommended to the Sul-
tan Officer of French Rocks
that, as the Hospital Assistant
was badly in want of a
house, the land might be
granted to him for an insert
price at the rate of one
anna per square yard.
In February last the Sultan
Officer accepted this recom-
mendation, being of opinion
that by having a house near
the Dispensary, the Hospital
Assistant would be more
useful to the public and
that therefore the grant of
the said land (which has cleared
the

the Defendant) would be advantageous to
the Defendant to take the
public Roads. - Vanjundaya
paid the value of the land
viz. Rs 31½ and transmitted
to build the house. In the
meanwhile, the 1st Pltf Thimmaya
and the 2nd Pltf's deceased
father Venkatachari claimed
the land as theirs on
the ground that it formed
a portion of Rajas Pasture in
which a Tope had been planted
by their ancestors & a
and prayed for cancellation of the grant to Vanjunda
grant took place. The Sub Collector
rejected their claim on the
ground that it had already
been decided by the Deputy
Commr in 1872. The Deputy
Commr

85 — Bui- ing sale to Vanjundiya
ought to have been by
public auction. It
is expedient to interfere
in this matter, and Van-
jundiya may complete
his building.

Draft-
C/13.

For Secretary.

To
The Deputy Commr
Mysore District
& Records.

4-11-97

4-11-97

L.C.
1/4/97.